



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,121	04/30/2001	Joseph B. Elad	QUANTUM-1	5233
54884 7590 09/05/2007 GOMEZ INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE, LLC 1501 N. RODNEY STREET SUITE 101 WILMINGTON, DE 19806			EXAMINER DASS, HARISH T	
			ART UNIT 3693	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/05/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/846,121		ELAD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Harish T. Dass		3693	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Harish T. Dass.

(3) A. Johnson (inhouse - Knox Bldg).

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) Brian Gomez Reg. #44,718 phone conference).

Date of Interview: 27 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant

2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,5 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Harish T. Dass  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inventor (A. Johnson) provided an over view of his invention and the difference between the auction and invention with attributes having intrinsic qualities and extrinsic qualities of transaction. Examiner explained the rejection based on prior arts and outstanding claims with broades reasonable interpretation of the claims. Inventor/Atorney agreed to amend the claims to bring allowable subject matter into the independent claims which distinguishes the invention from prior art/practices within confinement of original disclosure. New new matter will be added. Applicant's attorney (Brian Gomez Reg. #44,718 ) will file a formal response to office action, additionally, the claims will be clearly written to avoide USC 112/USC 101 rejecions.